UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/576,966	04/25/2006	Zoran Kostic	PU030112	2796	
	24498 7590 10/30/2008 Joseph J. Laks			EXAMINER	
Thomson Licen		VO, DON NGUYEN			
PO Box 5312	2 Independence Way, Patent Operations PO Box 5312 PRINCETON, NJ 08543			PAPER NUMBER	
PRINCETON, 1					
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/30/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/576,966	KOSTIC ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Don N. Vo	2611			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 Ar</u>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ access	vn from consideration. relection requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the E				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the one of the correction of the cor					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/25/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te			

Application/Control Number: 10/576,966 Page 2

Art Unit: 2611

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Acknowledgement

1. The Preliminary Amendment filed on 04/25/2006 has been received and placed of record.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The recitations of "provides a code tracking adjustment signal" (recited in claim 1, line 5) and "provides a filter coefficient" (recited in claim 1, lines 7-8) are vague and indefinite because they are not used by any element recited in the claim.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eckhardt et al (US 2004/0088609) in view of Healey et al (US 2004/0044713).

Regarding claims 1-8, Eckhardt, as shown in figure 3, teaches code-tracking system for synchronizing the received signals comprising loop filter (330) for receiving the early/late error signal and error scaling device (330) for generating a code tracking adjustment signal. See also [0007] and [0041] – [0044]. Eckhardt fails to teach a controller for providing filter coefficient based on at least one of the frequency of updates and number of same direction updates. However, Healey, as shown in figure 1, teaches controller (102, 104, 106) updating the filter coefficient based on at least one of the frequency of updates and number of same direction updates. See Healey: [0015], [0025] - [0028], [0037] – [0039] and [0044]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system

of Eckhardt by employing the controller for proving the filter coefficients as taught by Healey so as to effectively updating the filer coefficients for filtering the error signal.

Regarding claims 9-19, both Eckhardt and Healey teach all subject matter claimed except for the threshold is user-defined whereas Healey teaches the threshold is automatically generated. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Eckhardt and Healey by using user-defined threshold instead of automatically generated user-defined threshold as taught by Healey so that threshold can be controlled by a user and such modification would not involve any inventive feature.

#### Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Reference Girardeau, Jr. is cited because it is pertinent to the method and apparatus for code tracking having loop filter.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Don N. Vo whose telephone number is (571) 272-3018. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (9:00AM 6:00PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, MOHAMMAD GHAYOUR can be reached on (571) 272-3021. The fax

Application/Control Number: 10/576,966 Page 5

Art Unit: 2611

phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Don N. Vo/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2611